GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

CORAM: Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar,
State Information Commissioner

Appeal No.114/2016

Mr.Victor Gomes, R/o H.No.F2,Rajvihar Phase-II, Aquem-Alto, Margao Goa.

....Appellant

V/s.

1.The Public Information Officer (PIO), Dy. Collector & SDO, South Zone, Margao Goa.

2.The First Appellate Authority (FAA), Additional Collector I, South Goa, Margao Goa.

.....Respondents

Filed on: 3/06/2016 Decided on: 13/04/2017

ORDER

- 1. The Appellant, Shri Victor Gomes has filed present appeal on 3/06/16 praying that the opponent be directed to furnish the information as sought by him vide his application dated 9/10/2015.
- 2. The brief facts leading to present appeal are as under:The appellant vide his application dated 9/10/15 sought certified copy of the entire file in respect of partition case bearing no. LRC/part/370/2005/III which was disposed on 23/08/2006 from the PIO, Office of Deputy Collector at Margao.
- 3. The Respondent no. 1 PIO did not respond to his said application, deeming the same as refusal, the appellant therefore approached the Additional Collector-I at Margao by way of 1st appeal u/s 19(1) of Right to Information Act (RTI Act) and the Respondent No. 2 here in by his order dated 23/02/2016 directed respondent No. 1 to furnish the information to the appellant as soon as the file is traced.
- 4. Despite of the order of First Appellate Authority (FAA), as no information came to be furnished to him, the appellant then

preferred the present appeal u/s 19(3) of the Right To Information Act.

- 5. In the second appeal the grievance raised by the appellant is that the respondent has not made any efforts to recover some information from Land and Survey Department.
- 6. Notices were issued to the parties. In pursuant to the notice the appellant appeared in person. Respondent No. 1 PIO represented by Viraj Malkarnekar.
- 7. The representative of Respondent No. 1 PIO sought time to furnish the information and accordingly the information came to be furnished to him on 13/04/2017 vide letter dated 13/04/2017.
- 8. The appellant there after on verification of the information submitted that with this information, his application dated 9/10/16 filed u/s 6(1) of the RTI Act stands fully replied and hence he doesnot desire to proceed with appeal and requested to pass appropriate order.
- 9. Since information is furnished to him to his satisfaction, nothing survives to be decided in the present appeal.
- 10. Appeal is disposed accordingly.

Proceedings stands closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-

(Ms.Pratima K. Vernekar)

State Information Commissioner Goa State Information Commission, Panaji-Goa

KK/-

Appeal 134/2016